

Attachment 5

The Basis for Honest Policy and Legislation

Our Core Responsibilities and Questions

The basis for honest policy and legislation is Logical Scientific Points proving causality

The fundamental basis of science and the decider of science is a logical scientific point. That is, empirical scientific data within a logical scientific framework proving causal relationship.

Policy though needs more than logical scientific points. Effective, honest policy needs specific measurable effects of the supposed cause. In the case of policies to cut the carbon dioxide from human activity, there must be a specific, quantified effect of carbon dioxide from human activity on a climate or weather factor such as air temperature; ocean temperature; rainfall; snowfall; storm frequency and severity, drought frequency, duration and severity; ocean alkalinity; flood frequency and severity; ...

Only once this specific relationship is known and its effect quantified can there be a development of alternative policy options and a costing of each option together with a matching assessment of the benefits of each option.

Only then can a cost-benefit-analysis be performed to decide the best policy option.

Only then can progress toward achieving the policy be measured and progress tracked.

In the case of climate and related energy policies this fundamental basis for policy and legislation has been completely bypassed. Governance and integrity have been trashed, discarded, abandoned.

The failure of CSIRO, BOM, The Chief Scientist, the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UN IPCC), government departments, politicians, academics, universities to provide any logical scientific points showing that carbon dioxide from human activity causes climate change and needs to be cut means there is no basis for climate and related energy policies.

Where are the supporting logical scientific points?

Preceding attachments confirm that no scientist, agency, politician, or journalist anywhere in Australia has provided the logical scientific points to justify the claimed need to cut human production of Earth's natural and essential atmospheric trace gas, carbon dioxide.

The same is true of the world. No one has provided the basis for policy, let alone policies costing tens of billions of dollars in Australia and with impacts that will cost trillions of dollars in Australia.

Although people rely on highly persuasive emotive repetition of claims that Maurice Strong first falsely labelled as "science" no one has ever specified the impact of carbon dioxide from human activity.

Thus, there is no scientific, logical, or economic basis for climate and energy policies driving legislation currently before us in parliament.

I have consulted, listened to, and questioned scientists expressing a wide range of diverse views on climate. Since 2007 I have cross-examined scientists and held scientists, politicians and journalists accountable. None has provided the logical scientific points nor quantified any specific effect of carbon dioxide from human activity.

Our shared responsibility and a challenge

As representatives of the people voting on proposed legislation before our parliament, we each bear the onus of justifying scientifically **both** the logical scientific points **AND** the specific quantified effect of carbon dioxide from human activity.

If you disagree, we invite you to explain your basis for considering bills.

If you agree that this is the basis for deciding legislation and you are voting for the legislation, please provide the specific location of such logical scientific points including empirical scientific data. That is, specify the report/paper's title, author(s) names, publisher and the **specific page number(s) locating the data within a logical scientific framework quantifying causality.**

If not, please provide the names of any politician, science agency, government department, scientist or any institute that can specify the location of such logical scientific framework quantifying causality?

If you are not able to specify the scientific basis for your support of climate and climate-related energy policies, please specify the basis for your support of climate and related energy legislation.

The core problem and solution

The core problem in climate and related energy policy is a lack of parliamentary accountability. It enables policies that bypass fundamental principles for deriving policy. We need to restore parliamentary governance with policies and decisions based on objective, independent, verified empirical scientific data in logical scientific points within logical scientific frameworks proving causality.

History shows repeatedly that humans in groups are easily misled into following fashion and emotive relentless, multi-media messaging saturated with fear and colourful stories of catastrophe. It is time for members of parliament to summon the courage to question the data, facts and science to restore scientific integrity, parliamentary integrity and personal integrity. And to serve the people.

The onus is on us as the people's representatives to present the scientific basis – with integrity

The fundamental issue is this: there is no empirical scientific causal proof that carbon dioxide from human activity causes global temperature increases and leads to more severe weather crises.