

Attachment 3

Other Agencies, Institutions and Universities Fail to Prove Causality

Nowhere else in the world has any member of congress or parliament rigorously cross-examined any government climate science agency. My cross-examination of CSIRO is the only such holding of a government science agency accountable.

Other relevant facts include:

- The United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (UN IPCC) and its reports have never quantified the specific effect of carbon dioxide from human activity and never provided the necessary logical scientific points. Additional facts on the UN IPCC are available in my 2012 summary: http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/docs/new/2_AppendixIPCC.pdf The UN IPCC reports' Summary for Policy Makers move subtly—without causation—from no proof to inferred causation, to implied causation, to future conjecture to forecasts of catastrophe. i.e., without justification UN IPCC reports simply and cleverly imply and then assume causation.
- The late Maurice Strong is the concocter of climate alarm and after forming the UN IPCC in 1988 to create an aura of scientific endorsement, he entrenched climate alarm through fomenting the staged illusion of grass roots movements in UN conferences including Rio de Janeiro in 1992, Kyoto in 1997 and Paris in 2015.
- At the same time Strong built systems to drive behaviour and enrich himself. He formed and part-owned the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX) for global trading of carbon (dioxide) credits. Al Gore invested in that and in 2007 Kevin Rudd, as Labor leader, brought Gore to Australia to peddle climate alarm with the intention of starting Labor's Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) involving carbon (dioxide) credits that would ultimately be traded on Strong's and Gore's Chicago Climate Exchange.
- Separately, American law enforcement agencies reportedly sought Strong for alleged serious criminal charges and then reportedly exiled in China.
- Strong had been Under-Secretary General of the United Nations and used that position to push unfounded climate alarm via the United Nations and later combined with the World Economic Forum. He was founding Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) responsible for the deaths of 40-50 million people from malaria when it drove the ban on the insecticide DDT and did so contrary to the science.
- Economist Ross Garnaut's 2008 Climate Change Review was widely-acclaimed politically as based on science. Yet its chapter 2 entitled 'Understanding Climate Science' states - *"The Review takes as its starting point, on the balance of probabilities and not as a matter of belief, the majority opinion of the Australian and international scientific communities that human activities resulted in substantial global warming from the mid-20th century, and that continued growth in greenhouse gas concentrations caused by human-induced emissions would generate high risks of dangerous climate change."* Thus, the report clearly admits that it is not based on logical scientific points yet was widely used politically and in the media, to entrench the need to cut carbon dioxide from human activity. Falsely. Government funded Garnaut's report, yet he is reportedly associated with subsidised solar/wind investments.

- Another tight-knit group of government-funded academic advocates repeatedly misrepresents climate, science, nature and humanity. This group includes Tim Flannery, Will Steffen, David Karoly, Ove Hoegh-Guldberg, Matthew England, Lesley Hughes, Kurt Lambeck, Andy Pitman and others. Some such as chemical engineer Will Steffen and mammalian palaeontologist Tim Flannery have concurrently held multiple positions on government-funded agencies and commissions. This group infiltrated science agencies and associations, and many are documented as being closely associated with international organisations pushing unelected global governance. Further information on these alarmist academic advocates is available at: http://www.climate.conscious.com.au/docs/new/9_appendix.pdf.
- In 2016 I wrote letters to Dr Gavin Schmidt, Director of NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) holding him accountable for his agency's unfounded tampering of temperature data. GISS's tampering concocted warming where none existed and/or exaggerated natural cyclical warming. My letters led to Schmidt making startling revelations contradicting his earlier public claims. Later GISS revised its fabricated temperatures downward. Schmidt runs a small group of climate activists within GISS that is in turn a part of NASA whose astronauts, scientists, executives and engineers have written joint letters to the NASA executive requesting that GISS's misrepresentations of climate be stopped as the unscientific misrepresentations are harming NASA's fine reputation. Neither NASA nor GISS has ever provided logical scientific points proving that carbon dioxide from human activity affects climate or weather, yet some journalists and politicians repeatedly claim they rely on NASA science to advocate cutting carbon dioxide from human activity.
- My letter of 27 October 2021 to Messrs Morrison, Joyce, Albanese and Bandt refers to the universal failure of a wide range of government departments, agencies, authorities, administrative bodies, commissions and corporations, institutions, universities, journalists, climate activists, academics and other vested interests receiving government funding to supposedly provide the logical scientific points showing carbon dioxide from human activity needs to be cut. Yet none has provided the logical scientific points and empirical data to justify their claims, advocacy and government grants.

No Australian federal, state or territory government has ever had the scientific basis for a mandate to push, much less pass legislation cutting the production of carbon dioxide from human activity.